

Public Document Pack

Croydon & Lewisham Street Lighting Joint Committee

To:

Representing the London Borough of Croydon

Councillor Stuart King, London Borough of Croydon

Councillor Paul Scott, London Borough of Croydon

Representing the London Borough of Lewisham

Councillor Kevin Bonavia, London Borough of Lewisham

Councillor Sophie McGeevor, London Borough of Lewisham

A meeting of the **Croydon & Lewisham Street Lighting Joint Committee** will be held on **Thursday, 17 October 2019** at **6.30 pm** in **F10, Town Hall, Katharine Street, Croydon CR0 1NX**

JACQUELINE HARRIS BAKER
Council Solicitor and Monitoring Officer
London Borough of Croydon
Bernard Weatherill House
8 Mint Walk, Croydon CR0 1EA

Victoria Lower
020 8726 6000 x14773
victoria.lower@croydon.gov.uk
www.croydon.gov.uk/meetings
Wednesday, 9 October 2019

AGENDA

1. **Appointment of Chair and Vice-Chair**
2. **Apologies for absence**
To receive any apologies for absence from any members of the Committee.
3. **Minutes of the previous meeting** (Pages 3 - 6)
To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 11 October 2018 as an accurate record.

4. Disclosure of Interest

In accordance with the Council's Code of Conduct and the statutory provisions of the Localism Act, Members and co-opted Members of the Council are reminded that it is a requirement to register disclosable pecuniary interests (DPIs) and gifts and hospitality to the value of which exceeds £50 or multiple gifts and/or instances of hospitality with a cumulative value of £50 or more when received from a single donor within a rolling twelve month period. In addition, Members and co-opted Members are reminded that unless their disclosable pecuniary interest is registered on the register of interests or is the subject of a pending notification to the Monitoring Officer, they are required to disclose those disclosable pecuniary interests at the meeting. This should be done by completing the Disclosure of Interest form and handing it to the Democratic Services representative at the start of the meeting. The Chair will then invite Members to make their disclosure orally at the commencement of Agenda item 3. Completed disclosure forms will be provided to the Monitoring Officer for inclusion on the Register of Members' Interests.

5. Urgent Business (if any)

To receive notice of any business not on the agenda which in the opinion of the Chair, by reason of special circumstances, be considered as a matter of urgency.

6. Joint Street Lighting PFI Update (Pages 7 - 20)

The joint report of the Executive Director of Place (Croydon) and Executive Director, Resources & Regeneration (Lewisham) is attached.

7. Exclusion of the Press & Public

The following motion is to be moved and seconded as the "camera resolution" where it is proposed to move into part B of a meeting:
"That, under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act, 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information falling within those paragraphs indicated in Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended".

Croydon & Lewisham Street Lighting Joint Committee

Meeting of held on Thursday, 11 October 2018 at 6.30 pm in F10, Town Hall, Katharine Street,
Croydon CR0 1NX

MINUTES

Present: Councillor Stuart King (Chair)
Councillor Brenda Dacres (Vice Chair)
Councillor Kevin Bonavia

Also Present: Councillor Nina Degradis

Apologies: Councillor Paul Scott

PART A

1/18 Appointment of Chair and Vice-Chair

The Committee Clerk called for nominations for Chair and Vice-Chair for the Municipal Year to May 2019.

Councillor Kevin Bonavia nominated and Councillor Brenda Dacres seconded the motion to appoint Councillor Stuart King as Chair for the remainder of the 2018/19 municipal year.

Councillor Kevin Bonavia nominated and Councillor Stuart King seconded Councillor Brenda Dacres to be Vice-Chair for the remainder of the 2018/19 municipal year.

The Committee unanimously agreed both appointments.

2/18 Minutes of the previous meeting

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 12 October 2017 be signed as a correct record.

3/18 Disclosure of Interest

There were no disclosures of a pecuniary interest not already registered.

4/18 Urgent Business (if any)

There was none.

Joint Street Lighting PFI Update

The PFI Contract Manager summarised the main points of the report. PS1 was completed 31 October 2016 and the Croydon Public Lighting Network Cable has been de-energised with the old stumps having been removed. Remaining stumps being found were being removed within the target of 20 business days.

There were no issues in PS2 with planned maintenance or electrical testing, and the provider had achieved lighting performance of over 99%. It was noted that this performance was difficult to beat. Night scouts had observed minimal issues and checks on car parks and subways, where lights could not be connected to the Central Management System, were being done in the day as they were operation 24 hours.

For PS3 the Committee learned that the provider was achieving one hour response for emergency callouts, but that it had requested this be extended to two hours. The provider had been told that this would not be accepted as it provided no benefit to the authorities. The Contract Manager stated that the permit teams of both authorities were engaged in adding a new KPI for all faults to be resolved in 30 days.

PS4 showed that 95% of Customer calls to the Provider call centre had been answered in 25 seconds between September 2017 and August 2018, with the exception of December and March. Following this, financial deductions had been applied.

In PS5 and PS7 the Committee noted that all Monthly and Annual Reports had been received on time.

PS6 demonstrated that there were no issues with health and safety and few permitting issues. The officer highlighted that the provider would need to be awarded 25 penalty points before financial adjustments could be made and that this total had not been reached.

PS9 showed that almost no complaints had been received, with the exception of a report of a Branch Node failure in Lewisham, which had been quickly resolved. Members commented that they were keen to see how quickly the lighting timings adjusted around Day Light Savings, and if this would cause an increase in complaints. The officer highlighted to the Committee that a section had been added to the report detailing carbon emissions. The Committee agreed that this report was positive and that the provider was performing well.

Councillor Kevin Bonavia informed the Contract Officer that he had received some complaints from residents about the brightness of lights in their streets. The Councillor queried the cost and ease of adjusting the light levels of specific street lights.

In response the Director of Public Realm clarified that changing this was very easy and would incur a low cost (depending on the scale of change), but that lights should be changed on a street or area level and not individually. The Director further highlighted that environmental factors (such as crime, traffic junctions and the viability of existing CCTV cameras) should be taken into account before lights were dimmed.

Councillor Kevin Bonavia enquired as to what attachments to street lighting were permitted other than stickers and banners. The Director of Public Realm explained that there were regulations for this, but that most things that could be considered an 'Authority attachment' were permissible as long as they followed guidelines. The Strategic Procurement and Commercial Services Manager highlighted that an FAQ on festive attachments had recently been sent out and would be recirculated to Members.

The Committee **RESOLVED** to:

- **NOTE** the performance of Service Provider in respect of the street lighting PFI for September 2017 - August 2018
- **APPROVE** the proposed unitary charges for 2018/19 of £2.505m for Croydon and £1.409m for Lewisham (based on a 64% to 36% split).
- **NOTE** the agreed Deed of Variation from both Authorities to enable CLSLJC to be held once a year.

6/18 **Exclusion of the Press & Public**

This was not required.

The meeting ended at 6.48 pm

Signed:

Date:

.....

.....

This page is intentionally left blank

REPORT TO:	Croydon & Lewisham Joint Street Lighting Committee 17 October 2019
SUBJECT:	The Joint Street Lighting PFI Update
LEAD OFFICER:	Croydon: Executive Director of Place Lewisham: Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration
CABINET MEMBER:	Croydon: Councillors Stuart King and Paul Scott Lewisham: Councillors Brenda Dacres and Sophie McGeever
WARDS:	All
CORPORATE PRIORITY/POLICY CONTEXT/AMBITIOUS FOR CROYDON:	
<p>Improving street lighting supports Croydon Council's corporate priorities of improving the environment and reducing crime and Lewisham Council's corporate priorities of making Lewisham greener and building safer communities.</p>	
FINANCIAL IMPACT:	
<p>Noting the recommendations in this report will reflect the agreement made by Croydon and Lewisham Council to the sums set out in the P.F.I contract agreement. Each authority has made plans as it considers appropriate for the financial implications of the project. No additional expenditure is proposed as a result of this report.</p>	
KEY DECISION REFERENCE NO.: N/A	
1. RECOMMENDATIONS	
<p>The Committee is asked to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Note the performance of Service Provider in respect of the street lighting PFI for September 2018 - August 2019 • Approve the proposed unitary charges for 2019/20 of £2.567m for Croydon and £1.444m for Lewisham (based on a 64% to 36% split) 	

2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2.1 This report advises the Committee of the overall performance of the Service Provider during September 2018 – August 2019.

3. DETAIL

Operational Performance Standards Overview

- 3.1 The Output Specification for this contract defines both Councils' requirements for the Service that the Service Provider shall provide pursuant to this Contract. The Performance Standards within the Output Specification specify the required outcome, service delivery, performance requirements and measurement criteria in respect of each part of the Service. The performance is reviewed on a monthly basis as part of the "Monthly Monitoring Report" and this is linked directly to any financial adjustments for failing to meet the minimum requirements set out each performance standard.
- 3.2 The Service Provider shall perform the service in accordance with the following Performance Standards:

PS1 – Core Investment Programme;

- 3.3 The Service Provider designed and installed new apparatus during the five year Core Investment Programme (CIP) across both councils to the current British Standards and contract specification. The Core Investment Programme was completed 31 October 2016. The Croydon Public Lighting Network Cable has been de-energised and abandoned by UKPN. The old stumps that were previously keeping the Public Lighting Network Cable functioning have been removed. Any remaining stumps found being left in situ are added to the Service Providers snagging list and removed within 20 business days.

PS2 - Planned Maintenance, Inspection and Testing;

- 3.4 This Performance Standard covers planned maintenance, inspection and testing of street lighting equipment. Routine scouting of street lights is undertaken and the performance is measured over a four-month period.

During the period September 2018 – August 2019 the following wards were completed as part of the Annual Programme:

Bulk Clean and Change & Electrical Testing (Columns Y1)

Brockley, Lee Green, Ladywell, Evelyn, Telegraph Hill, New Cross, Downham, Selsdon Vale & Forestdale, Selsdon & Addington Village, Purley Oaks and Riddlesdown, Purley & Woodcote.

Total Assets: 10,514

Electrical Testing Signs (Signs Y2)

Brockley, Lee Green, Ladywell, Evelyn, Telegraph Hill, New Cross, Downham, Selsdon Vale & Forestdale, Selsdon & Addington Village, Purley Oaks and Riddlesdown, Purley & Woodcote.

Total Assets: 1,294

Signs Clean only (Y2)

All wards across both boroughs

Total Assets: 5,520

Visual Inspections (Y1)

Catford South, Forest Hill, Sydenham, Blackheath, Grove Park, Crofton Park, New Addington South, New Addington North, Broad Green, Norbury Park, South Norwood, Thornton Heath, Waddon, West Thornton

Total Assets: 12,276

Due to the completion of the Core Investment Programme the night scouts are now only required to pick up any illuminated signs out of light and/or with maintenance issues i.e. doors off, twisted sign lights or lantern realignments etc. However the Service Provider are continuing to night scout at their own discretion. All Car Parks and Subways where fittings do not have the capacity for nodes to be connected to the CMS are being scouted in the day time as these are operational 24 hours.

The Client Monitoring team have carried out site checks to verify that all Car Park, Subway and Housing assets have been replaced for new.

All column outages are reported via City Touch on the Central Management System.

3.5 The table below illustrates the overall performance over the last 12 months. The Service Provider has achieved the required level of 99% lights throughout this period, and therefore no financial adjustment has occurred.

	August 2019	July 2019	June 2019	May 2019
(Y) # occasions not In Light	210	192	165	149
(T) # Lighting Points	46,850	46,850	46,850	46,850
^A / _B In Light **	99.552%	99.590%	99.648%	99.682%
In Light:	99.618%			

	April 2019	March 2019	February 2019	January 2019
(Y) # occasions not In Light	180	158	231	317
(T) # Lighting Points	46,850	93,700	93,700	93,700
^A / _B In Light **	99.616%	99.831%	99.753%	99.662%
In Light:	99.716%			

	December 2018	November 2018	October 2018	September 2018
(Y) # occasions not In Light	193	254	220	85
(T) # Lighting Points	93,700	93,700	46,850	46,850
^/B In Light **	99.794%	99.729%	99.530%	99.819%
In Light:	99.718%			

3.6 The Client Monitoring Team continue to carry out its own shadow night scouts to verify the quality of the Service Providers night scouts and to review all vehicle tracker reports. The accuracy of the Central Management System is also validated.

PS3 - Operational Responsiveness and Reactive Maintenance;

3.7 This Performance Standard covers the operational responsiveness of the Service Provider to attend to faults within the relevant rectification period.

3.8 The tables below illustrate the performance for emergency and non – emergency faults in and out of time for the period of September 2018 – August 2019. Over this period all Emergency Call Outs have been attended within the 1 hour time frame.

3.9 The Authority agreed for a trial 2 hour call out period over 3 months due to Service Providers concerns upon attending site within 1 hour required attendance time.

Results found that Service Provider still attended within 1 hour requirement and there were no potential financial savings to the Authority for bringing in this change, so response time still remains at 1 hour.

Table 1 - Faults completed within contractual timescale:

Fault Type	Number of occasions: In Time						
	Sept 2018	Oct 2018	Nov 2018	Dec 2018	Jan 2019	Feb 2019	Mar 2019
Emergency faults	15	24	28	18	11	14	22
Non-Emergency Faults	168	425	513	426	522	443	384

Fault Type	Number of occasions: In Time						
	Apr 2019	May 2019	Jun 2019	Jul 2019	Aug 2019		
Emergency faults	16	6	20	16	18		
Non-Emergency Faults	439	316	362	387	416		

Table 2 - Faults completed outside contractual timescale

Fault Type	Number of occasions: Out of Time						
	Sept 2018	Oct 2018	Nov 2018	Dec 2018	Jan 2019	Feb 2019	Mar 2019
Emergency faults	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Emergency Faults	0	2	2	1	1	2	0

Fault Type	Number of occasions: Out of Time						
	Apr 2019	May 2019	Jun 2019	Jul 2019	Aug 2019		
Emergency faults	0	0	0	0	0		
Non-Emergency Faults	1	2	1	2	2		

3.10 During this reporting period as detailed in table 2 above not all non-emergency faults were attended in time and therefore a financial adjustment has been applied in line with the Payment Mechanism of the contract.

PS4 - Contract Management and Customer Interface;

3.11 For the Service Period, the Service Provider shall provide a customer care and contract management service in accordance with this Performance Standard that includes the development, operation and maintenance of a Management Information System (MIS) and Customer Care System (CCS).

3.12 The table below shows telephone calls received by the call centre and emergency phone line during the period September 2018 to August 2019. The target is 95% of all calls being answered within 25 seconds of which this target has been achieved over this period.

Sep 2018	Oct 2018	Nov 2018	Dec 2018	Jan 2019	Feb 2019	Mar 2019	Prescribed response period
74	193	193	134	41	119	113	= # calls received by call centre/ emergency phone line
71	187	187	133	39	115	113	= # answered by a trained call agent within 25 seconds
97.60%	96.89%	96.89%	99.25%	95.12%	96.64%	100%	= % answered by a trained call agent within 25 seconds

Apr 2019	May 2019	Jun 2019	Jul 2019	Aug 2019			Prescribed response period
94	66	65	170	117			= # calls received by call centre / emergency phone line
93	66	63	169	114			= # answered by a trained call agent within 25 seconds
98.94%	100%	96.92%	99.41%	97.44%			= % answered by a trained call agent within 25 seconds

PS5 - Strategic Assistance and Reporting;

3.13 The Service Provider shall provide relevant, accurate and timely information to the Councils on its performance in relation to the services in Monthly Service Reports and Annual Service Reports to ensure that the strategic assistance and reporting procedures adopted for delivery of the Service:

- (i) enable the Councils to properly monitor the Service and have sufficient data and information to assess accurately what Adjustments, (if any) to the Unitary Charge should be made;
- (ii) allow the Councils to demonstrate that it is achieving its Best Value Duty and continuous improvement in the delivery of the Service; and
- (iii) allow the Councils to regularly review the Service to determine whether it meets current and future needs; consult with users and other stakeholders and benchmark performance against other Service Providers.

Monthly monitoring and Monthly Payment Reports are combined to reduce the administration burden for the councils and are provided by the fifth business day of the month following the month for which the report relates.

For this period all reports were received on time.

PS6 - Working Practices;

3.14 Performance Standard 6 requires the Service Provider to ensure it operates the day- to-day working practices correctly and safely.

During September 2107 – August 2018 there have been no urgent service failures and no serious service failure, therefore no financial adjustments.

There are also no routine service failure adjustments, although there were 10 points awarded in April 2018 and 5 points in June 2018 with regards to permitting issues. However financial adjustments are only applied for any points over 25 points which follow the guidelines in the Appendix 21 table.

Overall the Service Provider is performing very well with regards to staff Health & Safety issues for its own staff and ensuring site conditions for residents are monitored and kept in a safe condition.

Frequent joint permitting meetings are carried out by the Service Provider, Monitoring team, UKPN and both boroughs permitting teams to resolve any potential issues and collaborate works to minimize and disruption.

Below is the table of any service failures under PS6 Working Practices

Categories of the faults relating to these practices are detailed below:

Fault Type	Definition	September 2018	October 2018	November 2018	December 2018	January 2019	February 2019	March 2019
Urgent service faults	Any Service Failure that: (a) poses a material risk to life; or (b) poses a material risk of damage to person and/or property; or (c) poses a material risk of significant financial loss and/or disruption to the Authority.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Serious service faults	Any Service Failure that is such that it may develop into an Urgent Service Failure if not rectified or attended to in accordance with Good Industry Practice.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Routine service faults	Any Service Failure that is not immediately detrimental or likely to lead to a Serious Service Failure or an Urgent Service Failure, but that, if not rectified or attended to in accordance with Good Industry Practice, may adversely impact on the Service and / or the Authority's reputation and / or the Service Provider's reputation.	0	0	10	5	0	20	0

Fault Type	Definition	April 2019	May 2019	June 2019	July 2019	August 2019
Urgent service faults	Any Service Failure that: (a) poses a material risk to life; or (b) poses a material risk of damage to person and/or property; or (c) poses a material risk of significant financial loss and/or disruption to the Authority.	0	0	0	0	0
Serious service faults	Any Service Failure that is such that it may develop into an Urgent Service Failure if not rectified or attended to in accordance with Good Industry Practice.	0	0	0	0	0
Routine service faults	Any Service Failure that is not immediately detrimental or likely to lead to a Serious Service Failure or an Urgent Service Failure, but that, if not rectified or attended to in accordance with Good Industry Practice, may adversely impact on the Service and / or the Authority's reputation and / or the Service Provider's reputation.	10	0	0	0	0

PS7 - Reporting to the Authority;

- 3.15 In order for the Councils to monitor the performance of the Service Provider and to ensure appropriate Monthly Payments are made under the Contract, the Service Provider shall provide accurate and complete reporting to the Councils on how the Service Provider is complying with the requirements of the Output Specification.

Over this period the committee are asked to note all reports were submitted on time.

PS9 - Central Management System;

- 3.16 When this contract was awarded both councils opted for a Central Management System (CMS) to be installed to all street lights as part of a “mandatory variant solution”. In technical terms the key difference between the mandatory variant solutions and standard Solutions is that the mandatory variant solution enables lights to be dimmed, or brightened, flexibly, whereas in the standard solution lights will only come on and off at fixed ambient light levels. The advantage of the mandatory variant solutions is its flexibility, and the opportunity that it affords to cut energy consumption and therefore costs or otherwise to respond to policy considerations. This is something both boroughs have explored under a Variable Lighting Policy.
- 3.17 Once the Independent Certifier issued the Certificate of Compliance for the new street lighting on a street by street basis the Service Provider ensured that all Replacement CIP Apparatus is connected to and operating on the Central Management System.

The client team are continuing to monitor the current operation of the CMS which has resulted in a reduction of failures within the system. Client team officers review the information provided by City Touch so that checks can be carried out to ensure any outages are raised within the M.I.S within the 4 day rectification period.

- 3.18 After the completion of the Core Investment Programme across both boroughs as of August 2019 there are 40,785 street lighting columns connected to the Central Management System. The remaining assets are in Subways and Car Parks that are not connected to the CMS and are scouted separately.
- 3.19 Lewisham introduced their Variant Lighting Level Policy in November 2016 which received Mayor and Cabinet approval. To date the Service Provider and the Client Monitoring Team have still not received any specific complaints in relation to the introduction of this policy in Lewisham.

4. CONSULTATION

- 4.1 During the mobilisation phase and throughout the CIP, the Service Provider was required to liaise and consult with all relevant bodies, which includes the Councils, its officers, and all other stakeholders.
- 4.2 There is a mechanism built within the Output Specification to ensure that this

consultation process takes place.

- 4.3 Notifications to residents were distributed in advance of works commencing on site. The requirements was to deliver a leaflet to each property 8 weeks prior to works commencement and a further letter 4 weeks prior to works commencing.
- 4.4 As the CIP programme has finished both Authorities have agreed not to consult with residents about any customer satisfaction surveys as they feel the information will not be beneficial.

5 FINANCIAL AND RISK ASSESSMENT CONSIDERATIONS

- 5.1 As per the Co-Operation Agreement the Joint Committee is required to submit final estimates for approval to the Constituent Authorities no later than November 30th. The Joint Committee is then to set its budget no later than March 15th each year. The structure of the Payment Mechanism includes a payment in arrears for the service. Any under performance in a period will be reflected in a payment adjustment in the following period. A draft Monthly Payment Report is provided to the Councils within five business days of the month for which it is reporting no later than the end of the month a final monthly payment report is issued to the authority and the authority has 20 business days to settle the account.
- 5.2 The budget for running the Joint Committee itself is minimal and can be contained within the overall project budget or other existing budgets. The contract budget for the year is as set out in the PFI financial model. This budget includes provision for expenditure on the PFI contract itself, the contract monitoring costs and contributions into the sinking fund to even out PFI liabilities over the life of contract, with LBC acting as the lead authority on payments. The anticipated cost for 2019/20 is expected to be £10.511m. The proposed unitary charge in 2019/20 for Croydon and Lewisham works out at £2.567m and £1.444m respectively (based on agreed 64% to 36% split), with the remaining contribution derived from PFI credits provided by the Department for Transport. Energy costs are paid directly to the respective energy suppliers by the individual authorities and are not part of the sinking fund payment process.
- 5.3 The financial model sinking fund is periodically reviewed to ensure that adequate resources are set aside for future liabilities. The contributions for 19/20 have been adjusted accordingly. These are offset in part by contract performance deductions.
- 5.4 Approved by: Kate Bingham, Head of Finance

6. LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 6.1 To align the constituent authorities, the legal teams created two agreements, the Governance Agreement and the Co-operation Agreement.
- 6.2 The Governance Agreement was put in place to set out the joint arrangements for the management of the joint street lighting PFI Project. It details the functions of the Joint Committee, its constitution and decision making powers.

- 6.3 The Co-operation Agreement sets out the detailed arrangements relating to operation matters including how any disputes between the constituent authorities are to be settled and budget provisions to cover the management costs of the Project.
- 6.4 It is the function of the Joint Committee to monitor the operational performance of the Service Provider and to receive reports from the Management Board consisting of two representatives of each constituent authority as to the Service Provider's performance over the last quarter.
- 6.5 Approved by: Sean Murphy, Director of Law & Governance and Deputy Monitoring Officer

7. HUMAN RESOURCES IMPACT

- 7.1 There are no Human Resources considerations arising from this report.

8. EQUALITIES IMPACT

- 8.1 An updated Equalities Impact Assessment (EIA) has been undertaken, and there are no specific disadvantages associated with replacing the street lighting in the boroughs. Indeed, the enhanced lighting will be of benefits to all residents and businesses.

Lewisham have introduced their Variable Lighting Policy across the borough which included an updated EIA.

A further updated EIA will be carried out by Croydon if decided to go ahead with any Variant Lighting Policy.

Both Authorities have their own separated policies which do not need to be linked.

9. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

- 9.1 Carbon emissions from Croydon's street lighting are shown in the table below. While total energy consumption increased over 2018/19, total annual CO2 emissions have been steadily decreasing. This is due to the increasing proportion of zero carbon renewable energy generation connected to the UK power network decreasing the grid CO2 content.

Year	Consumption kWh	CO ₂ tonne	Grid carbon emission factor (kg CO ₂ kWh)
2018/19	11,320,710	3,451	0.30482
2017/18	10,014,298	3,820	0.38146
2016/17	9,860,865	4,404	0.44662
2015/16	10,126,987	5,027	0.49636

2014/15	10,185,810	5,430	0.5331
2013/14	9,647,256	5,219	0.541

- 9.2 Croydon and Lewisham were both mandatory participants in the government CRC Energy Efficiency Scheme (CRCEES). This requires authorities to submit an annual report on CO2 emissions associated with operational energy use and to purchase 'Allowances' to cover these emissions. Unmetered electricity suppliers are within the scope of the CRCEES and the cost of CO2 emissions relating to Croydon's street lighting in 2018/19 was £60k.
- 9.3 The CRCEES scheme has now been closed, with the final submission being for the 2018/19 period. However, to compensate for the loss of this income to HM Treasury, the government has increased the rates of the Climate Change Levy (CCL). The CCL is charged on all non-domestic supplies of electricity and gas. The rate charged on electricity supplies increased by 45% from 1st April 2019. This will increase Croydon's annual electricity cost for street lighting by £35k. However, this increase is mitigated by the closure of CRCEES.
- 9.4 The CMS functionality will help reduce street lighting electricity consumption, this will therefore help minimise electricity costs and associated CO2 emissions along with minimising the costs for CO2 under the CRCEES.
- 9.5 Approved by Bob Fiddik, Team Leader – Sustainable Development & Energy

10. CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPACT

- 10.1 The general improvement of the street lighting is expected to have a positive impact in the levels of crime and disorder.

11. CUSTOMER IMPACT

- 11.1 The core objective of the street lighting replacement programme, the replacement of the existing aged equipment with a new and well-maintained service, had a positive impact on the residents.
- 11.2 Croydon continue to review their Variant Lighting Level Policy to ensure there is no impact on residents and stakeholders.

12. DATA PROTECTION IMPLICATIONS

- 12.1 Will the subject of the report involve the processing of "personal data"?

NO.

Has a Data Protection impact assessment (DPIA) been completed?

Not Required.

CONTACT OFFICER:

Steve Iles, Authorised Person and Director of Public Realm, Croydon Council:
Telephone: 02087266000, **ext.:** 52821, **Email:** steve.iles@croydon.gov.uk

Katharine Nidd, Strategic Procurement and Commercial Services Manager, Lewisham Council

Telephone: 02083147000, **Email:** Katharine.Nidd@lewisham.gov.uk

John Algar, PFI Contract Manager, Croydon Council

Telephone: 02083944717, **Email:** john.algar@croydon.gov.uk

APPENDICES TO THIS REPORT: None

BACKGROUND PAPERS: None