

## Planning Sub-Committee

Meeting held on Thursday, 17 November 2022 at 8.43 pm in Council Chamber, Town Hall,  
Katharine Street, Croydon CR0 1NX

### MINUTES

**Present:** Councillor Michael Neal (Chair);  
  
Councillors Ian Parker, Chris Clark, Sean Fitzsimons, Clive Fraser and Gayle Gander

**Also Present:** Councillors Jade Appleton and Maddie Henson

**Apologies:** Councillors Leila Ben-Hassel, Joseph Lee and Ellily Ponnuthurai

### PART A

A6/22 **Minutes of the previous meeting**

**RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 22 September 2022 be signed as a correct record.

A7/22 **Disclosure of Interest**

There were no disclosures of a pecuniary interest not already registered.

A8/22 **Urgent Business (if any)**

There was none.

A9/22 **Planning applications for decision**

A10/22 **21/05313/FUL - Land And Garages Rear Of 1 To 4 Mulberry Lane  
Accessed Between 36 And 38 Havelock Road**

Demolition of garages and construction of 4 mews houses with associated landscaping, cycle storage and refuse provision.

Ward: Addiscombe East

The officer presented details of the planning application and responded to questions for clarification.

Robert Porter and Suzanne Rixon spoke in objection to the application. Alice Brownfield spoke in support of the application.

The Chair used his discretion to enable the ward Member Councillor Maddie Henson to address the Committee on behalf of Sarah Jones MP with her view on the application.

The Committee deliberated on the application presentation heard before them having heard all the speakers who addressed the Committee, and in turn addressed their view on the matter.

The substantive motion to GRANT the application based on the officer's recommendation was proposed by Councillor Fitzsimons. This motion was not seconded and therefore the substantive motion fell due to a lack of support.

Councillor Neal proposed the motion to refuse the application for the following reasons:

1. The proposed development would result in an overdevelopment of the site, by virtue of its height, mass, scale and design, resulting in a detrimental impact that fails to preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the East India Estate Conservation Area.
2. The proposed development would be detrimental to the amenities of the occupiers of surrounding properties, resulting in an overly dominant, overbearing and oppressive impact on the rear habitable rooms and gardens of these properties, by reason of the height and massing of the proposed development.
3. Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the refuse storage arrangements, in particular the proposed location of the refuse store adjacent to 38 Havelock Road and located on the access road, and the proposed location for bulky waste, would be incompatible with the movement of vehicles and would prevent safe access and egress to the site for vehicles and pedestrians.

The motion to refuse the application was seconded by Councillor Parker.

The motion to refuse the application was taken to a vote and carried with four Members voting in favour one Member voting against and one Member abstaining their vote.

The Committee **RESOLVED** to **REFUSE** the application for the development at Land And Garages Rear Of 1 To 4 Mulberry Lane Accessed Between 36 And 38 Havelock Road.

*The Chair called for a vote to extend the Planning Committee meeting past the 10pm guillotine, this was taken to a vote and carried with all Members voting to extend the meeting.*

A11/22 **22/00182/FUL - 39 Grimwade Avenue, CR0 5DJ**

Demolition of existing dwelling house (retrospective) and the construction of a new 7-bedroom dwelling house comprising basement, ground and first floor with accommodation in the roof space; dormers to the rear; together with landscaping and car parking and associated works.

Ward: Park Hill and Whitgift

The officer presented details of the planning application and responded to questions for clarification.

Bob McQuillan and Nicholas Stretton spoke in objection to the application.

Pravin M Patel spoke in support of the application.

The Ward Member Councillor Appleton addressed the Committee with her view on the application.

The Committee deliberated on the application presentation heard before them having heard all the speakers who addressed the Committee, and in turn addressed their view on the matter.

The substantive motion to GRANT the application based on the officer's recommendation was proposed by Councillor Fraser. This motion was not seconded and therefore the substantive motion fell due to a lack of support.

Councillor Parker proposed the motion to refuse the application based on the character, height, scale and mass of the development and its impact on adjoining occupiers. This was seconded by Councillor Gander.

The motion to refuse the application was taken to a vote and carried with four Members voting in favour and two Members voting against.

The Committee **RESOLVED** to **REFUSE** the application for the development at 39 Grimwade Avenue, CR0 5DJ.

The meeting ended at 10.16 pm

**Signed:**

**Date:**

.....  
.....