

LONDON BOROUGH OF CROYDON

REPORT:	CORPORATE DIRECTOR DELEGATED KEY DECISION	
DATE OF DECISION	26 NOVEMBER 2024	
REPORT TITLE:	REGINA ROAD DEMOLITION CONTRACT AWARD FOR THE DEMOLITION OF TOWER 1, NOS. 1 TO 87 (PHASE 1A), AND TWO ADJACENT BLOCKS OF MAISONNETTES, NOS 1-87 AND 89-123. REGINA ROAD (PHASE 1B)	
CORPORATE DIRECTOR	Susmita Sen, Corporate Director of Housing	
LEAD OFFICER:	Frank Klepping, Interim Project Director, Regina Road Email: frank.klepping@croydon.gov.uk Telephone:26118	
LEAD MEMBER:	Councillor Hale Cabinet Member for Housing / Deputy Mayor	
DECISION TAKER:	Susmita Sen – Corporate Director of Housing	
AUTHORITY TO TAKE DECISION:	Delegation within the Tenders and Contracts Regulations	
KEY DECISION? [Insert Ref. Number if a Key Decision] <i>Guidance: A Key Decision reference number will be allocated upon submission of a forward plan entry to Democratic Services.</i>	YES	REASON: Key Decision financial criteria: Value of the contract is over £1million Key Decision Number 1024HOU
CONTAINS EXEMPT INFORMATION? <i>(* See guidance)</i>	YES	Public with exempt Part B report. Exempt under paragraph(s) 3 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 and the public interest in withholding disclosure outweighs the public interest in disclosure.
WARDS AFFECTED:	South Norwood	

1 SUMMARY OF REPORT

- 1.1** This report is to seek approval for the award of a single Demolition Contract for the initial Phase 1 of the Regina Road Regeneration Scheme, consisting of one tower, 1-89 Regina Road (Phase 1a) and two blocks of adjacent maisonettes, nos. 82-99 and 101-123 Regina Road (Phase 1b). The demolition and clearance of the tower and maisonettes are the essential first stage of the redevelopment scheme and it will also enable the Council to meet the requirements of the GLA Funding Programme.
- 1.2** The procurement was conducted under the Notting Hill Genesis Framework, demolition & Enabling Works (Complex) Framework / contract Notice 2019/2 209-509321 procured under Regulation 33 (frameworks) of the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 (PCR). The procurement has resulted in the Council seeking authorisation to award the contract to the successful bidder (identified in Part B of this report) as they were the highest scoring bidder. The bid is deemed acceptable in all aspects including being within the project budget.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

For the reasons set out in the report and its appendices, it is recommended:

- 2.1 FOR CCB**
The Chair of the Contracts and Commissioning Board (CCB) is requested to recommend to the Corporate Director for Housing to approve the recommendation below.
- 2.2 FOR CORPORATE DIRECTOR OF HOUSING**
The Corporate Director of Housing is recommended by the Chair of CCB with the approval of the Cabinet Members for Finance and for Homes to:
- 2.3** approve the award of a contract for the provision of Demolition and Site Clearance Services for Phases 1a and 1b of the Regina Road Regeneration to a maximum value as stated in the Tender Report (Part B) to the Successful bidder (Supplier E) (identified in Part B)
- 2.4** approve that, in the event the first-place Bidder seeks to renegotiate the commercial terms of the contract, the award may be withdrawn from the first-place Bidder and awarded to the second-place Bidder (Supplier A) and for the contract value identified in Part B.

3 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1** The Executive Mayor in Cabinet approved the procurement Strategy (PB 2425-000020-S 24 July for delivery of Demolition Services and other supporting consultants for the Regina Road scheme.

- 3.2 The procurement has followed the appropriate procurement processes, involving the Council's Procurement team, the service technical team and legal advisors in order to ensure Council compliance with both the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 (PCR) and the Council's Tenders and Contract Regulations (TCRs).
- 3.3 The report outlines the procurement process that was undertaken via the Notting Hill Genesis Framework and recommends the most economically advantageous tender award to the successful bidder for the provision of Demolition Services for Phase 1 for the Regina Road redevelopment within the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 3.4 It is also recommended that the award to the first-place bidder could be withdrawn if they seek to renegotiate commercial terms, in which case the second-place bidder identified in Part B could be awarded to. This is in order to avoid post award negotiations and delay.

4 BACKGROUND AND DETAILS

4.1 THE REGINA ROAD HOUSING REDEVELOPMENT SCHEME

Regina Road is a housing scheme in Croydon's South Norwood area that has aged badly. Many residents are now living in properties suffering from water penetration, condensation and mould – as seen in many similar estates nationally. It has been decided that rebuilding would be substantially more cost-effective than continual remedial works. This follows extensive resident engagement which will be a feature of the scheme moving forward

- 4.2 Following Council's approval at the end of July 2023, the scheme is now moving ahead. The aim is to deliver an outstanding scheme for residents whilst creating additional housing within the Borough. The plan is to demolish the existing tower blocks and surrounding properties – a total of 191 homes – and to replace them with up to 380 high-quality homes (subject to planning) over a phased programme.

- 4.3 The demolition of the first block will commence in January 2025 and the maisonettes in September 2025 (subject to vacant possession).

4.4 TENDER PROCESS

The Tender documents were made available via the eTender Portal on 26/07/24, with an initial response deadline of 27/08/24 which was extended to 03/09/24.

- 4.5 Clarifications were submitted to 14/08/24, which was extended to 21/08/24 due to a number of requests from the bidders.
- 4.6 The contract period is 54 weeks, and the form of contract will be the 2016 edition of the JCT Standard Building Contract with amendments.
- 4.7 Site visits were undertaken on the 29th, 20th and 31st of July, and these visits were accompanied and minuted.

Demolition Contract Procurement Timetable	Date
Final review of Draft ITT completed and NHG arrangements agreed about issuance (via LBC InTend)	08/07/24
Procurement strategy report approval granted	19/07/24
Procurement approval standstill period ending	26/07/24
Issue of the ITT	26/07/24
Site visits – accompanied and minuted	29/08/24 - 31/08/24
Bidder Clarification Questions Closes	21/08/24
Competition closes – bids received	03/09/24
Procurement commences (not undertake) initial compliance checks of submissions	04/09/24
Evaluators complete scoring	16/10/24
Moderation closed and qualified	23/10/24
Award report issued to Project Director and Head of Procurement (first draft)	04/11/24
Final draft of Award Report	21/11/24
Award Report approved	22/11/24
Success and failure letters & JCT Contract completed ready to be issued	25/11/24
Contract Award	06/12/24

- 4.8** The outcome of the tender process including bidder scores set out in the following appendices: Appendix 1a - Gateway 3: Contract Award Report, Appendix 1b – Part B Gateway 3: Contract Award Report - Evaluation: Moderated Score Table and Costings
Appendix 3 - Part B: Evaluation: Moderated Score Table and Costings (Confidential)
Appendix 4 - Part B: Tender Report by Pellings LLP (Confidential).
- 4.9** This report includes the option to appoint the second placed bidder in the event the Successful bidder does not agree to the terms and conditions set out with the tender. This report includes this information due to tight timelines and previous issues with suppliers not signing up to the Council’s contract. The Council can potentially award to the second placed supplier in the event the preferred bidder does not execute the contract within a specified period. The report seeks approval, if required, to withdraw the award to the successful bidder and instead award to the second placed bidder without a new Award Report being required. Details of the second placed tenders bid is set out in the Appendices (Part A and Part B).
- 4.10** The Procurement Strategy noted that the UK Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) concluded a long-running investigation into bid-rigging and illegal cartel agreements within the demolition industry. However, the Competition and Markets Authority has confirmed that the firms are now compliant with competition law and can be considered for future tendering opportunities, given the strong sanctions imposed and the firms' cooperation during the investigation. Notting Hill Genesis also checked the suppliers self cleansing information and was satisfied that they appeared to be taking the necessary actions to comply with the CMA, and the measures they have implemented offer assurance that this practice should not occur again.
- 4.11** The Successful bidder was not one of the companies fined by the CMA. However, the second placed bidder was fined by the CMA. However, as set out in the Procurement Strategy report the Council consider the self-cleansing steps taken mean they are acceptable to contract with, should the Council need to award to the second placed bidder.

5 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

The alternative options considered:

- A) deliver the Demolition from in-house resources or
- B) do not award the contract

5.1 DELIVER FROM IN-HOUSE RESOURCES

The Council simply does not have the in-house resources required to deliver this demolition. To recruit and equip an in-house team capable of doing so would entail very significant and damaging delay, as well as incurring further risk and expense. As such, this is not considered a realistic option.

5.2 DO NOT AWARD THE CONTRACT

The consequences of not awarding the contract or not proceeding with the Demolition of Phase 1a and 1b would be to effectively call a halt to the entire scheme. In stark terms, one cannot replace dwellings without first removing the old. The bids received were carefully evaluated and this evaluation showed that the bidders were fully capable contractors who offered value for money within the planned budget and in line with market norms.

6 CONSULTATION

- 6.1 Volumes 2 and 3 of the Invitation to Tender (ITT) set out Resident Engagement as a critical element of the scheme. The Bidder's Return (Volume 4) sought the methodologies and approach that the Bidders would deploy in this area, with many questions requiring the bidder to engage with residents and to address their concerns.
- 6.2 Whilst the strictures of the Public Contract Regulations limit resident opinion in the scoring of pre-qualified contracts, the evaluators were able to assess and score the returns in terms of commitment.

7. CONTRIBUTION TO MAYOR'S BUSINESS PLAN

- 7.1 The Demolition is the first 'on-site' step in delivering the Regina Road Redevelopment Project, which is a Key Objective in the Mayor's Business Plan.
- 7.2 The Project itself will contribute to making Croydon a cleaner, safer and healthier place to live; "a borough we're proud to call home".

8. IMPLICATIONS

8.1 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 8.1.1** The maximum total value of the contract can be supported within the Regina Road budget (for both the first and second placed bidder). Further details of the budget can be found in Tender Report by Pellings LLP (Confidential)
- 8.1.2** This is capital HRA spend. However, it is considered as essential because this project's expenditure is required to deliver the LBC's provision of statutory services at the minimum possible level. This is to avert or mitigate a serious health and safety incident or accident and to enable the LBC to function and carry out its duties and to fulfil statutory obligations. It is considered essential because the project falls under the following criteria:
- 8.1.2.1 It is urgent expenditure required to safeguard vulnerable citizens.
- 8.1.2.2 Under the criteria within Section 115(6A) of the Local Government Finance Act 1988, this proposed expenditure prevents the LBC's financial situation from getting worse. This is because, if the LBC fails to comply with its statutory duties by failing to deliver this service as outlined, this could result in substantial claims being made against the LBC.
- 8.1.2.3 Surveys have been conducted to assess the construction and fabric of the buildings to be demolished and they proved invaluable in compiling the specification, particularly with regard to the unconventional use of asbestos. Whilst there is a high degree of confidence in the specification, it is both realistic and prudent to recognise that residual risks remain, and a contingency of 15% has been included to meet the consequences of these risks, should any arise.
- 8.1.2.4 Part B has a contingency figure added to reflect that there could be a delay in getting Vacant Possession to the Maisonettes. This figure has been verified by LBC's Quantity Surveyor

Confidential Financial details relating to this report are included in part B of this document

Comments approved by Orlagh Guarnori Head of Strategic Finance – Housing 26/11/24

8.2 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 8.2.1** The Council has the power to enter into contracts with third parties pursuant to its functions as provided for under section 1 of the Local Government (contracts) Act 1997. The Council also have the power to do anything that individuals generally may do pursuant to section 1 of the Localism Act 2011.

8.2.2 The Council is under a duty to comply with the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 (PCR 2015) and when entering into regulated contracts. The proposed procurement award is a call-off from a framework agreement following a mini-competition. The use of framework agreements is set out in Regulation 33 of the PCR. The Council should comply with the requirements of the PCR and any other requirements included within the established framework. The procurement comments set out in this report confirm compliance with the PCR.

8.2.3 The Council is required to comply with its Tenders and Contracts Regulations within the Council's Constitution. This award decision is delegated by the Executive Mayor, pursuant to the delegations set out in the Tenders and Contracts Regulations.

Comments approved by the Head of Commercial, Housing & Litigation Law, Kiri Bailey, on behalf of the Director of Legal Services and Monitoring Officer. Date 21/11/24.

8.3 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

8.3.1 The council has a statutory duty to comply with the provisions set out in Sec. 149 of the Equality Act 2010. The LBC must therefore, in the performance of its functions, have due regard to:

(a) the elimination of discrimination, harassment, victimisation, and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Act.

(b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

(c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

8.3.2 The department has collated some data on protected characteristics at Regina Road and now hold some data on ethnicity, sex, sexual orientation and religion. During the consultation, efforts were made to pay due regard to all equality characteristics by ensuring that the consultation was accessible to all residents - in particular those who may not have English as a first language and residents who are non-neurotypical.

8.3.3 The project team is paying particular attention to all impacts and disruptions to residents during the demolition period. A workstream is being put in place spanning all disciplines addressing these challenges including traffic, noise, dust, vibration and lighting and other factors. See also Part A of the Demolition Procurement Strategy provided as background.

Comments reviewed by Ken Orlukwu, Senior Equalities Officer on behalf of Helen Reeves, Head of Strategy and Policy. (Date 14/11/2024)

8.4 HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS

- 8.4.1** As this is an award of a new contract with no Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment Regulations) (TUPE), or Acquired Rights Directive, implications there are no immediate HR issues arising from this report.
- 8.4.2** In the event that any HR implications do arise they will be addressed through the council's HR policy and procedure framework to ensure a fair and consistent approach.

Approved by Dean Shoesmith, Chief People Officer (dated: 21/11/2024)

8.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

- 8.5.1** Implement LBC Carbon neutral plan 2022. Ensuring the development contribute to minimising carbon emission and has high standards of sustainable design.

Approved by David Baptiste, Strategic Housing Regeneration Lead. Date 13/11/24

8.6 CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

- 8.6.1** There is no adverse crime and disorder impact expected from carrying out these works. Ensuring LBC buildings are safe helps mitigate crime and disorder risks. The successful bidder will have committed to delivering crime and anti-social behaviour initiatives as part of their commitment to Social Value.

Approved by Christopher Rowney Head of Violence Reduction Network on behalf of the Director of Culture & Community Safety. Date: 13/11/24.

8.7 DATA PROTECTION IMPLICATIONS

- 8.7.1** A Data Protection Impact Assessment was completed on 28 November 2022, and this was agreed by the Data Protection Officer on 9 December 2022 in relation to the data to be collected up to and including the ballot.

- 8.7.2** This contract will hold no resident data and minimal personal data and therefore will be covered adequately by the LBC's standard T&C's which will be contained in the contract, Volume 5.

Approved by: David Baptiste Strategic Housing Regeneration Lead. Date: 13/11/24

8.8 PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS

8.8.1 The Procurement & Commercial team has supported this procurement process throughout and are content that the process followed through the Notting Hill Genesis Framework is compliant with both PCR and the LBC's Tenders and Contract Regulations. The details of the procurement process are detailed in the Demolition Strategic Procurement Report dated 19 July 2024, provided as a background document.

8.8.2 The Regina Road Project Team and Strategic Corporate Procurement team are satisfied that the preferred bid that is recommended for award is a strong technical bid (including the social value offering), is within the project budget and offers value for money for the Council and its residents.

8.8.3 The Procurement Team are content that the Council can potentially award to the second place bidder in the event the Successful bidder fails to enter into contract with the Council.

Approved by: Matthew Devan, Strategic procurement Manager on behalf of the Head of procurement. Date 07/11/24.

8.9 RISK IMPLICATIONS

Risk	Detail	Mitigation
Procurement challenge from non-successful bidders	Suppliers may consider challenging and delaying the procurement process	Robust procurement process undertaken supported by procurement team & LBC Legal. 'unsuccessful tender letters" with feedback will be provided. A 10 day voluntary Standstill Period is being observed.
Health and safety/Political/Reputational	Exposure of neighbouring residents to asbestos, dust and noise	The, Specification, requires an asbestos analyst to be responsible for the monitoring of external and internal air quality. In addition, places the requirement to work to latest best practice guidelines published by the LBC and the Mayor of London, in addition to current legislation.
Delay to mobilisation of contract	Supplier may struggle to mobilise at the required speed resulting in delays to the project timeline.	Both Council and preferred bidder will draw upon lessons learned establishing current arrangements to ensure mobilisation into the new contract is smooth and effective. Regular meetings at the start of the contract to support the contractor and ensure rapid progress is made. Support will also be provided to the Council through involvement of its Employer's Agent (also Contract Administrator and Quantity Surveyor) CA/ QS (Pellings LLP) and Demolition

Risk	Detail	Mitigation
		consultant (MBP)
The new successful contractor may not perform in accordance with the Council's requirements.	Delivery of required service not provided in a timely professional manner, potentially resulting in the need to re-procure another Provider.	Robust contract management during mobilisation stage will set out performance monitoring including regular monthly performance meetings held to continue to engage with the contractor until the agreed termination date. Support will also be provided to the Council through involvement of its Employer's Agent (Pellings).
Delay to the award beyond November 2024	Delivery of service commencement slips thereby impacting the whole project and putting GLA funding at risk.	Robust contract engrossment involving Procurement, Legal and the Project Team working together post approval. Potential to award to second-place bidder if the first-place bidder attempts to renegotiate commercial terms of the contract. If this occurs, further legal advice will be obtained. Also, additional assurance through the procurement of Employers Agent (Pellings LLP).

9 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

9.1 Appendix 1a - Gateway 3: Contract Award Report

9.2 Appendix 1b – Part B Gateway 3: Contract Award Report - Evaluation: Moderated Score Table and Costings

Appendix 2 - Demolition Strategic Procurement Report, 19 July 2024 (Part A)

9.3 Appendix 3 - Part B: Evaluation: Moderated Score Table and Costings (Confidential)

9.4 Appendix 4 - Part B: Tender Report by Pellings LLP (Confidential)

9.5 Appendix 5 - Part B: Post Tender Matters

10 URGENCY

10.1 N/A

CONTACT OFFICER:

Name:	Frank Klepping
Post title:	Regina Road Interim Project Director
Email address:	frank.klepping@croydon.gov.uk

Appendix 1a

Gateway 3: Contract Award Report

1.SUPPLIER SELECTION

1.1 Summary of Tender Activity (including The Procurement Act 2023, Section 98 information)

Invitation to Tender Reference No.	LBC/TN/258	
Invitation to Tender Project Title Tender	Regina Road Demolition ITT	
Procurement Project Lead	John O'Dor	
Tender Return Date & Time Deadline	03/09/2024 5pm	
Tender Opening Officer	Natalie White	
Tender Opening Date	03/09/2024	
Route to Market Tender Procedure	Framework Mini Competition	
Number of tenders received	Five	
[**] Have Conflict of Interest declarations and the Assessment Record been updated in accordance with The Procurement Act 2023, Section 83 (3)	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Number of eligible Tenderers that have satisfied the published Instruction to Tender/ Conditions of Participation	Five	
Number of ineligible tenderers that have not satisfied the published Conditions of Participation	None	
How many tenders were classified as abnormally low?	None	
Were abnormally low tenders given opportunity to clarify their abnormally low tender?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Number of tender clarifications received	32	
Were all tender clarifications addressed within the required timescales prior to the deadline for tenders	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Other pertinent information/ ongoing decisions		
[**] For Competitive Flexible Procedure under Procurement Act 2023 complete below:		

***] Number of bidders selected (following a “reduction of numbers” process) to continue through the process	N/A
***] Number of bidders de-selected (following a “reduction of numbers” process) to continue through the process and the reasons for their deselection	N/A
***] Significant changes during tender (e.g. revised spec, pricing schedule etc.)	N/A
***] If there has been a significant change, which Notice(s) were published in accordance with The Procurement Act 2023?	N/A
	N/A
***] Other pertinent information/ ongoing decisions	N/A

1.2 Evaluation Panel

Name	Directorate/Service/Organisation
Obafemi Adenusi	Housing
Sarah Chisholm	External Participant (Pellings, Project Quantity Surveyors)
Simon Simpson	External Participant (MBPL, Demolition Consultants)
John O’Dor	LBC Procurement
<i>Social Value & Resident section</i>	
Jaqueline Duffus	LBC Resident Involvement Officer & Evaluator
Sandra O’Connor	LBC Snr. Resident Involvement Officer & Evaluator
Chris Barker	LBC Job Brokerage Officer
Name withheld due to GDPR	External Participant, Resident

1.3 Ineligible Tender(s)

Ineligible Tenderer Name	Reasons for Rejection	Bidder Rejection Notification Issued Y/N	
No ineligible tenders	Select from Drop Down	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

1.4 Successful Tender(s)

Successful Tenderer Name	Total Tender Evaluation Score	Reasons for selection	Subcontracting All or Part of Contract Yes/No		Subcontractor Name
Successful Bidder	84%	Eligible tender(s) was the most advantageous, highest scoring tender, demonstrating capability to meet the specified contractual requirements.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

1.5 Unsuccessful Tender(s)

Unsuccessful Tenderer Name	Total Tender Evaluation Score	Stage of Deselection/ Rejection	Reasons for Rejection
Supplier A	80.41%	Evaluation Stage 2	Eligible tender(s) but not the highest scoring bidder
Supplier B	74.41%	Evaluation Stage 2	Eligible tender(s) but not the highest scoring bidder
Supplier C	69.63%	Evaluation Stage 2	Eligible tender(s) but not the highest scoring bidder
Supplier D	72.48%	Evaluation Stage 2	Eligible tender(s) but not the highest scoring bidder

1.6 Locality

How many of the successful suppliers are based inside Croydon?	None
How many of the successful suppliers are based outside Croydon?	One
If the successful supplier is based outside of Croydon, please provide details of how many local supply chains will be used?	TBC at contract award
How many of the successful suppliers are classified as a Small Medium Enterprise (SME)?	One
How many of the successful suppliers are classified as Voluntary, Community or Faith Sector (VCFS)?	None

1.7 Social Value & Sustainability

Social Value Benefits	<p>The winning bidder submitted a comprehensive social value response committing to advertising employment opportunities within the Borough area using local job centres, employment schemes and local job fairs.</p> <p>The winning bidder will be taking on 2 employees, providing the successful candidates with all training provided starting with a NVQ in a CCDO role. These elements will be continually assessed during contract management phase.</p>
Sustainability Benefits	<p>Where possible, waste will be recycled or disposed of in the most environmentally appropriate methods. Further, the winning bidder has developed a solution with the data, proposals, and innovative deconstruction methodology to remove and capture CO2 in both the deconstruction phase and the subsequent release of embedded carbon taking into consideration the environmental impacts and potential damage caused with CO2 spikes</p>

1.8 Potential Risks & Issues

Refer to main document clause 8.9

1.9 Changes to Contract Terms

The supplier has agreed to the contract terms. However, due to tight timelines and previous issues with suppliers not signing up to the Council's contract the Council can potentially award to the second placed bidder in the event the preferred bidder does not execute the contract within a

specified period. The second placed bidder is Supplier A Contractor who also scored strongly. Supplier A Contractor scored 80.41% with a price that was also competitive, albeit more expensive than the preferred bidder.

1.10 Changes to Forecast Benefits

Not applicable

1.11 Other Changes

There have been no other changes.

1.12 Contract Completion and Handover

<p>[***] Has a Procurement Termination Notice been prepared for dispatch the Procurement Act 2023? <i>Only applicable to recommendations for termination of the Procurement Project</i></p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>[***] Have Contract Award Notice(s) and Assessment Summaries been prepared for dispatch in accordance with Section 50 of the Procurement Act 2023</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>[***] Have Contract Details Notice(s) been prepared for dispatch in accordance with the Procurement Act 2023</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>Has a Contract Manager been assigned for post contract award management of the proposed contract(s)?</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Pellings LLP- LBC's designated Quantity Surveyor for Regina Road will Contract Manage this Contract.</p>

2. IMPLICATIONS

[The section outlines key implications associated with the contract award].

<p>Procurement Implications</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Please see full details in 8.8, main report.</p>
<p>Legal Implications</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Please see full details in 8.2, main report.</p>
<p>Finance Implications</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Please see full details in 8.1, main report.</p>
<p>Crime and Disorder implications</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>There is no adverse crime and disorder impact expected from carrying out these works. Ensuring LBC buildings are safe helps mitigate crime and</p>

		disorder risks. The successful bidder will have committed to delivering crime and anti-social behaviour initiatives as part of their commitment to Social Value.
Equalities Implications	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Please see full details in 8.3, main report.
GDPR Implications	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This contract will hold no resident data and minimal personal data and therefore will be covered adequately by the LBC's standard T&C's which will be contained in the contract, Volume 5. Please see full details in 8.7, main report.
Human Resources Implications	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	As this is an award of a new contract with no TUPE or ARD implications, there is not considered to be any HR impact arising from this report. Should any HR issues do arise, they will be managed under the LBC's Corporate Policies and Procedures.
Environmental Implications	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	A mitigation plan is embedded in the project, led by the Architect and supported by Quantity Surveyor, as well as the work of the Mechanical and Electrical Engineers. This includes minimising emissions at all stages of the demolition and construction. Ensuring LBC carbon neutral action plan are implemented.

3. Gateway 3 - Contract Award Recommendation

Is the Award of Contracts recommended, If 'No' state reasons why?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>[If 'Yes' complete the next section. If 'No' please outline reason why the award of contract is not recommended]</i>	
<p>It is recommended that the designated authorised officer 'approves' the award contract(s), for the provision of Demolition Services , to the Bidder outlined in <i>Gateway 3, Section 1.3 Successful Tender(s)</i> of this report,</p> <p>This recommendation is made on the following basis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tender was: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fully compliant with the published Conditions of Participation ○ Satisfied all mandatory selection requirements ○ The Most Economically Advantageous Tender (MEAT) and demonstrated value for money in the tender proposal including Social Value ○ Demonstrated the ability to fulfil the requirements of the contract • The Tender process 'is' compliant with all relevant legislation, regulatory and policy obligations 			
Proposed Contract Start	29 November 2024	Proposed Contract End Date	31 December 2025
The total duration of the Contract is 54 weeks and the cost of the contract can be met within the existing budget. (Contract Start on site. Date: 6th January 2025)			
Name Recommending Officer(s)	Job Title of Recommending Officer(s)	Date	
Frank Klepping	Interim Project Director	21 November 2024	
David Baptiste	Regeneration Lead	14-Nov-24	

4. Gateway 3 – Contract Award Approval

The recommendations set out in <i>Gateway 3, Section 4, Contract Award Recommendations</i> of this report are: Choose an item.		
Name Approving Officer(s)	Job Title of Approving Officer(s)	Date
Susmita Sen	Corporate Director of Housing	Click here to enter a date.
Reasons for 'Deferred Pending Further Information' or 'Not Approved'		