PLANNING COMMITTEE Thursday 15th August 2019

- ADDENDUM TO AGENDA -

<u>Item 6.1 – 17/01319/FUL – Selsdon Goods Yard, Selsdon Road, South Croydon, CR2 0EA</u>

Since the publication of the committee report the Council's environmental health team have clarified that the request for additional noise mitigation measures, in the form of the lining and sealing of the vehicle workshop and external doors (as referred to in paragraph 2.2 of the committee report, with further details being required by condition 2), was made in error and is no longer required as the noise mitigation measures undertaken to date are sufficient in order to bring the noise impacts of the proposal within acceptable levels.

As such both paragraph 2.2 and condition 2 are hereby deleted from the committee report.

One additional representation has been received raising concerns around the use of potentially harmful solvent sprays and resulting fumes in the local area.

<u>Item 6.2 – 19/01837/FUL – 78 Higher Drive, Purley, CR8 2HG</u>

Councillor Steve O'Connell has objected, but not referred the application, as such paragraph 1.1 should read as follows:

This application is being reported to Planning Committee because the adjoining Ward Councillor (Simon Brew) has made representations in accordance with the Committee Consideration Criteria and requested Planning Committee consideration and objections above the threshold in the Committee Consideration Criteria have been received.

Paragraph 6.5 should state that Councillor Steve O'Connell (Kenley Councillor and GLA Member Croydon Sutton) has made representations (Objecting).

A further informative is recommended advising the applicant to contact the Highways team before construction, regarding works to be undertaken on the highway.

Item 6.3 - 19/02486/FUL - 8 Abbots Lane, Kenley, CR8 5JH

The table on the front page of the committee report incorrectly cites the proposed unit mix and should read as follows:

	1B 2P	2B 3P	2B 4P	3B 4P	3B 5P	4B+	Total
Existing Provision				1			1
Proposed Provision	1	4		1	3		9

Paragraph 3.2 of the committee report states that amended plans were received during the course of the application. For clarity the changes made by these amended plans are as follows:

- Minor layout changes
- Unit 7 has changed from a 2b3p apartment to a 1b2p apartment
- The reduction of car parking from 11 to 10 spaces
- The relocation of the refuse store
- Trees T2 (Himalayan Birch) and T16 (Pittosporum) are no longer proposed to be retained

The decision made not to re-consult on these amendments still stands as it is considered that these amendments are minor in nature and do not lead to a material change in circumstances.

Two additional representations largely covering the points summarised within the committee report have been received and state the following:

- A number of amendments have been made during the course of this application
- Tree T2 (Himalayan Birch) is now proposed to be removed and should be retained
- Development will not enhance the local area
- Overdevelopment
- No affordable housing is proposed
- Adverse impact on local services
- Loss of trees and biodiversity
- Adverse highways implications

The Kenley & District Residents' Association has made further representations regarding this application stating the following:

- A number of new files have been uploaded and a request to extend the formal consultation period was made
- The description of development should be updated
- The proposal does not provide an existing and proposed land level survey and these should be requested

Paragraph 8.5 of the committee report incorrectly states that the proposed density of the scheme is 211hr/ha whereas it should read 232hr/ha. The reasons outlined in the committee report for accepting a development that exceeds the recommended density range still stand.

Paragraph 8.35 of the committee report states that a condition requiring the submission of ecological mitigation measures will be required, however this does not currently appear in the list of recommended conditions under paragraph 2.2 of the committee report. Such a condition will be imposed in the event planning permission is granted.