| | | | | | | | pendix 1 | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSIONS | DEPARTMENT AND CABINET MEMBER RESPONDING | ACCEPT/ REJECT RECOMMENDATIONS (inc. reasons for rejection) | IDENTIFIED OFFICER | FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS | TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS IF ACCEPTED (ie Action Plan) | DATE OF SCRUTINY MEETING TO REPORT BACK | | | |
| R | Report: Update on Early Help and Children Improvement Programme (Considered by the Children & Young People Sub-Committee on 18 June 2019) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | statement made within reports for the Children & Young People Sub- | Whilst the report was informative, papers should be more detailed and contain information to support any statements made. | Councillor Alisa Flemming Children, Families & Education | Accept | Rob Henderson | N/A | From next Sub- Committee Meeting. | 5/11/19 | | | |
| Re | eport: Delivery of the Libr | raries Plan 2019 - 2018 (C | Considered by the S | Scrutiny & Overview Committee on 16 c | July 2019) | | | | | | |
| 1. | evaluation framework for the Libraries Plan should be a priority and it is request that it be shared with the Committee once finalised. | Given the approval of the Libraries Plan by the Council on 15 July, there was concern that an evaluation framework, to enable the success of the Libraries Plan to be judged, had not yet been developed. | Councillor Oliver Lewis Place | Accept - A framework for the evaluation of the Libraries Plan has been created and will be circulated to Committee for approval. | Paula Murray | Libraries Transformation Programme - £5m | The Libraries Plan covers a 10 year period, from 2019 to 2028. The Libraries Transformation Programme is a three year project. | December 2019 | | | |
| 2. | prepared by consultants setting out options for Council services should be accompanied by a covering report setting out the Council's | Although the openness and transparency displayed in publishing the report prepared by Consultants on possible options for the library service was welcomed, it was felt that it would have been preferable if it had been accompanied by a report setting out the Council's position on the option included to prevent undue public concern. | All Cabinet Members Resources | Accept – Guidance for report authors will include a direction to clearly address reccomendations made by consultants where these do not align with the Council's position. We will also ensure that report authors reflect this fully in Executive reports, as other options considered and rejected. | ELT | N/A | From December 2019 | TBC | | | |

| | Apper — Apper | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSIONS | DEPARTMENT AND CABINET MEMBER RESPONDING | ACCEPT/ REJECT RECOMMENDATIONS (inc. reasons for rejection) | IDENTIFIED OFFICER | FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS | TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS IF ACCEPTED (ie Action Plan) | DATE OF SCRUTINY MEETING TO REPORT BACK |
| | | | | | | | |
| Report: Community Infrast | ructure Levy – Policy and | d Strategy (Consid | ered by the Scrutiny & Overview Comr | mittee on 16 | July 2019) | | |
| 1. A fair and equitable scheme should be developed to allow those communities experiencing a high level of development to apply for funding from the Local Meaningful Proportion of CIL for projects in their local area. | That there should be greater opportunity for those local communities where development was taking place to have access to funding from the Local Meaningful Proportion of CIL and any scheme created to address this should be fairly governed to take into account the diversity of the borough. | Councillor Stuart King/Paul Scott (Job Share) Place | Partially Accept - In legislative terms, it is possible to distribute the CIL Local Meaningful Proportion (LMP) by the 16 Places defined in the Local Plan. It is possible to provide an opportunity for communities / community groups to apply for the LMP for projects in their Place. However, it is considered that the following approaches are more appropriate. A possible increase in the current ward budgets. Also, that community / place plans, in consultation with ward members and plan panels, outline the projects to be funded by LMP. This will ensure that the goverance and administration regarding this proposed new approach is proportionate. This approach will ensure that a Service and Project Manager is identified to deliver the project. It will also ensure the LMP is spent in the Places the funding is needed most. For example, if driven by the level of development in each Place, the Croydon Opportunity Area would receive a huge proportion of LMP, but projects and improvements are | Steve Dennington | None - Any administrative costs associated with any processes and governance introduced can be covered by the CIL administration proportion. | Start pilot(s) for a Place(s) in 20/21. | 2020/21 |

| | CCDLITIMY | CONCLUSIONS | DEDADTMENT | ACCEPT/ DE JECT | IDENTIFIED | ANV | | DATE OF |
|----|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSIONS | DEPARTMENT AND CABINET MEMBER RESPONDING | ACCEPT/ REJECT RECOMMENDATIONS (inc. reasons for rejection) | IDENTIFIED OFFICER | FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS | TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS IF ACCEPTED (ie Action Plan) | DATE OF SCRUTINY MEETING TO REPORT BACK |
| | | | | already funded in part by the Growth Zone. | | | (constant) | |
| 2. | increase the level of devolution in the borough, using CIL funding as an incentive, should be explored. | That CIL funding presented an opportunity to increase the level of devolution to local communities in the borough through Local Neighbourhood Plans. | Councillor Stuart King/Paul Scott (Job Share) Place | Accept - The CIL Regulations (2010 Amended) enable CIL LMP to be retained by an area defined in an adopted Neighbourhood Plan. | Steve Dennington | None - Any administrative costs associated with any processes and governance introduced can be covered by the CIL administration proportion. | On adoption of any Neighbourhood Plan. | 2020/21 |
| 3. | Community Ward Budgets on the Council's website should make clear that the funds for the scheme had been | There was concern that it might not be apparent to the public that the Community Ward Budgets were being funded from new development in the borough. | Councillor Stuart King/Paul Scott (Job Share) Place | Accept - The Ward Budget webpage can be updated to state that development, through the CIL LMP, is funding Ward Budgets. However, this would make it explicit on the Council's website that CIL LMP should be spent in accordance with the CIL Regulations (2010 Amended). They state the CIL LMP should be spent on the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area. | Steve Dennington/ Gavin Handford | N/A | Start of 2020. | 2020/21 |
| 4. | should be given to finding a simple way of presenting information | There was a concern that it was not clear for the public how funds raised through CIL on a local level were spent. | Councillor Stuart King/Paul Scott (Job Share) Place | Accept - A CIL Monitoring report is produced annually already. However, recently introduced CIL Regulations require more detailed and extensive reporting. These | Steve Dennington | N/A | December 2019 (although new regulations actually come into force for December 2020) | 2020/21 |

| SCRUTINY | CONCLUSIONS | DEPARTMENT | ACCEPT/ REJECT | IDENTIFIED | ANY | TIMETABLE FOR | DATE OF | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSIONS | AND CABINET MEMBER RESPONDING | RECOMMENDATIONS (inc. reasons for rejection) | OFFICER | FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS | IMMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS IF ACCEPTED (ie Action Plan) | SCRUTINY MEETING | | | |
| would allow the public to understand how funds raised in their local area had been allocated. | | | requirements will meet the suggestions of the Committee. Detail regarding the new reporting requirements can be viewed via - http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2019/1103/schedule/2/made | | | | | | | |
| Report: Corporate and Stat | tutory Annual Complaints | s Report 2018-19 (| Considered by the Scrutiny & Overview | w Committee | on 16 July 2019) | | | | | |
| That a mechanism should be put in place to provide the Scrutiny Members with a regular updates on the Council's Complaints Performance. | That it would be useful for the Committee to be made aware of any increase in the number of complaints relating to a specific area during the year. | Councillor Simon Hall Resources | Accept | Claire Davies | N/A | The Q1 report has been sent to the Committee. The Q2 report will be ready middle of November | N/A | | | |
| 2. That information relating to complaints within their Portfolio should be included within each Cabinet Member's Question Time report. | That information relating to complaints with specific Portfolios should be included as part of the written report presented by the Cabinet Member to the Committee for their Question Time item. | All Cabinet Members Resources | Accept | Claire Davies and Simon Trevaskis | | Reporting Timescale: Q2 Report for Complaints to be provided prior to 10 December. Q2 and monthly for October and November report provided prior to 14 Jan 2020. Q3 report provided prior to 25 Feb 2020. Q3 report and monthly for January and February provided prior to 7 April 2020. | Please refer to Timetable column. | | | |
| Report: Statutory Guidance | Report: Statutory Guidance on Overview and Scrutiny in Local and Combined Authorities (Considered by the Scrutiny & Overview Committee on 16 July 2019) | | | | | | | | | |
| That a formal response be requested from the Cabinet on how they | That it would be important to have a formal response from the | All Cabinet Members | Accept - The Cabinet welcomes the publication of the updated Scrutiny Guidance and is pleased to note | Stephen Rowan | N/A | Ongoing | N/A | | | |

| SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSIONS | DEPARTMENT AND CABINET MEMBER RESPONDING | ACCEPT/ REJECT RECOMMENDATIONS (inc. reasons for rejection) | IDENTIFIED OFFICER | FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS | TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS IF ACCEPTED | DATE OF SCRUTINY MEETING TO REPORT |
|---|-------------|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| will help to ensure to the good practice so out in the Scrutiny guidance will be enacted. | | Resources | that Scrutiny in Croydon under the Chairmanship of Councillor Sean Fitzsimons is already meeting much of the best practice highlighted and also leading the implementation of best practice across London through chairing the London Scrutiny Network. The guidance will be fed into the Governance Review looking at decision making at the Council to ensure that Scrutiny in Croydon continues to maintain the high standards it has set for itself. | | | (ie Action Plan) | BACK |