21st November 2019 Planning Committee

Addendum

Item 6.1 - 19/02678/FUL - 64 to 74 Whytecliffe Road North, Purley, CR8 2AR

Paragraph 9.43 – The following line:

- **Paragraph 9.43 – The following line:**

  In total 2 low quality Category C trees would be removed.
  is replaced with
  In total one low quality Category C trees would be removed.

Item 6.2: 18/05856/FUL – Station Yard, 56 Brigstock Road

- Since the publication of the report Councillor Alison Butler (Ward Councillor) has removed her objection and request to refer this application to planning committee. As such this application is now only report to planning committee on the basis that objections above the threshold in the Committee Consideration Criteria have been received.
- Paragraph 8.19 incorrectly identifies the site as having a PTAL of 5, when in fact the correct PTAL of the site is 4. It should be noted however that this does not impact upon the recommended appropriate density range specified in the report.

Item 6.3 – 19/03074/FUL – 5 Highland Road, Purley CR8 2HS

- Since the publication of the report, one additional representation has been received. It raises no new issues.

- At paragraph 8.5, the report should state that the scheme includes 3x 3-bedroom homes (33% of all units), with 78% of homes being suitable for 4 or more occupants.

- At paragraph 8.40 the report should state that there is capacity to accommodate the anticipated overspill of up to 3 vehicles on street. If those vehicles park close to the junction on Highland Road, they may prevent access by a waste vehicle which if uncontrolled could have a severe impact on the function of the highway. In order to prevent those vehicles (and any visiting vehicles) parking adjacent to the junction, condition 11 is recommended to secure a parking restriction at the junction on Highland Road (prior to the commencement of above ground works). Subject to condition 11, the parking impacts of the development would be acceptable.

- At paragraph 8.43 the committee report recommends submission of a Construction Logistics Plan (CLP). Since the time of writing, a CLP has been submitted to the Council and agreed by the Highways engineer, therefore condition 13 is amended to require compliance with the submitted CLP.

Item 6.4 - 19/03385/FUL – 34 Caterham Drive, Coulsdon, CR5 1JF

- 16 additional representations have been received since this items confirmation on the committee agenda. Additional representations relate to the contemporary design and appearance, roof form, scale, height, massing, inappropriate siting, impact on neighbouring amenity (overlooking, loss of light), provision of amenity space, access, additional pressure on infrastructure and increased surface water risk. The above concerns have been addressed in the original report.
- 1 comment with computer generated images has been received since this items confirmation on the committee agenda. The images showing the proposed building and relationship with neighbouring properties. The images has been circulated to Members.
- 1 additional objection has been received from Cllr Margaret Bird since this items confirmation on the committee agenda. The concerns relate to the design and appearance, the scheme being of character and local context, and inadequate parking provision. The above concerns have been addressed in the original report.
- Condition 1 amended to read: The development shall be carried out entirely in accordance with the documents and approved drawings 570.10 Rev A; 570.11 Rev A; 570.12 Rev G; 570.13 Rev F; 570.14 Rev F; 570.15 Rev F; 570.16 Rev G; 570.17 Rev C received 12/11/2019.
- Figure 3. (Elevational view highlighting that the massing respects Haycroft Close properties) in the committee report has been substituted with the revised version received 12/11/2019 to indicate the amended fenestration, clear and obscure glazing.

Item 6.5: 19/03410/FUL – 22 Purley Knoll, Purley, CR8 3AE

- Since the publication of the report slight amendments have been made to the proposed site plan (to amend the proposed visibility splay and introduce a pathway to the secure cycle stores) and the proposed rear elevation of the flatted block (to clarify that the openings at the lower ground floor extend to floor level).
- Drawing numbers 799/018/P03/D and 799/018/P10/B have been replaced by 799/018/P03/E and 799/018/P10/C.
- Condition 7 previously recommended in paragraph 2.2 is now no longer required, and reference to such a condition being required in paragraph 8.30 should now be disregarded.