## **Part 8 Other Planning Matters**

Item 8.1

Report of:	Title:
Director of Planning and	
Strategic Transport	Weekly Planning Decisions and
	Performance
Author: Pete Smith	

## 1. Purpose

1.1 This report provides a list of cases determined (since the last Planning Committee) providing details of the site and description of development (by Ward), whether the case was determined by officers under delegated powers or by Planning Committee/Sub Committee and the outcome (refusal/approval).

## **Planning Decisions**

- 1.2 Attached as Appendix 1 is the list of delegated and Planning Committee/Sub Committee decisions taken between 17<sup>th</sup> February and 28<sup>th</sup> February 2020.
- 1.4 During this period the service issued 158 decisions (ranging from applications for full planning permission, applications to discharge or vary planning conditions, applications for tree works, applications for prior approval, applications for non-material amendments and applications for Certificates of Lawful Development). 10 applications were withdrawn by the applicants (which also appear on the list).
- 1.5 Out of the 158 decisions issued, 14 were refused (9%). Therefore the approval rate for last week was 91%.
- 1.6 Notable decisions are listed below
  - The Reserved Matters for Ruskin Square Plot B04 were approved on 27<sup>th</sup> February. This is for the erection of a fifteen storey building comprising basement parking and uses within Class A1/A2/A3/A4/A5 at part ground and part first floors and offices within class B1 in the remainder of the building (approval of reserved matters for in connection with outline planning application 11/00631/P) (Phase B04). This is the next phase of the commercial component.
  - On 21st February, permission was refused at 133-135 Milne Park East for the erection of two storey rear extension, dormer roof extension on rear roof slope and balconies, alterations, conversion of first floor and second floors to form 5 flats. The reasons for refusal related to inaccurate plans, loss of ground floor commercial, poor quality ground floor residential unit, sub-standard accommodation for future occupiers, inadequate refuse provision and cycle storage facilities.

- On 21st February, permission was refused at 13 Ederline Avenue for the conversion of an existing house into 3 flats, 1 x three bed unit, 1 x two bed unit and 1 x one bed unit, and the erection of a first floor rear extension. The reasons for refusal related to the sub-standard quality of accommodation for the future occupiers and impact on the amenities of 15 Ederline Avenue.
- On 24<sup>th</sup> February, permission was refused at 11 Blunt Road for the Conversion of top floor 2 bedroom (4 person) flat into 2x1 bed 1 person flats. The reasons for refusal related to quality of the amenity space, loss of small family home and single aspect north facing unit quality.