

**SLWP food and green garden waste: receipt, bulking, haulage and treatment
Project CB 136**

FULL EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM B
<p>Function being assessed:</p> <p>South London Waste Partnership - food and green garden waste: receipt, bulking, haulage and treatment</p> <p>There is no direct impact on residents; this is a contractor-to-contractor service.</p>
<p>Is this a new function or a review of an existing function?</p> <p>Reprocurement of existing function</p>
<p>What are the aims/purpose of the function?</p> <p>The receipt at a waste transfer station of food and garden waste collected from residents, and its haulage to treatment facilities to be turned into fuels and/or compost. The aim is to minimise the generation of carbon from the process, or indeed to substitute for carbon elsewhere in the economy.</p>
<p>Is the function designed to meet specific needs such as the needs of minority ethnic groups, older people, disabled people etc?</p> <p>No.</p> <p>This function does not have a direct impact on residents as it is a secondary service in the recycling chain and is a contractor-to-contractor service.</p>
<p>What information has been gathered on this function? (Indicate the type of information gathered e.g. statistics, consultation, other monitoring information)? Attach a summary or refer to where the evidence can be found.</p> <p>Tonnage and technical data on the amount of material collected in each borough, by month. Number of tips of each type of waste by borough by month.</p> <p>The SLWP's collection methodology was formed following public consultation with residents across the Partnership area. The consultation was some time ago, but waste collection and disposal strategies typically commit boroughs to 10-30 year timescales:</p> <p>http://www.slwp.org.uk/residents-have-their-say-on-waste-sites-and-policies/</p>
<p>Does your analysis of the information show different outcomes for different groups (higher or lower uptake/failure to access/receive a poorer or inferior service)? If yes, indicate which groups and which aspects of the policy or function contribute to inequality?</p> <p>No. Any different outcomes occur upstream from the activities under consideration, ie at the point of collection – not at the point of disposal and treatment of wastes, by which time any individual resident's waste is indistinguishable from all other residents' waste.</p>

<p>Are these differences justified (e.g. are there legislative or other constraints)? If they are, explain in what way.</p> <p>N/a</p> <p>It is a contractor-to-contractor service.</p>
<p>What action needs to be taken as a result of this Equality Impact Assessment to address any detrimental impacts or meet previously unidentified need? Include here any reasonable adjustments for access by disabled people. Include dates by which action will be taken. Attach an action plan if necessary.</p> <p>N/a. However the Partnership will require bidders to submit their equalities policy during the procurement and require conformity with the Equalities Act in its service specification; we will encourage other relevant forms of social value such as training opportunities for local people, recruited with regard to equalities and diversity; we will require the submission of an equalities performance report annually as part of the contractor(s) annual report.</p>
<p>When will you evaluate the impact of action taken? Give review dates.</p> <p>Annually on receipt of the contractors' annual reports.</p>
<p>Assessment completed by:</p> <p>NAME: Peter Ramage</p> <p>SERVICE: Project Consultant for SLWP</p> <p>DATE: 1 October 2020; modified in discussion with Francis Arokiasamy on 7 October 2020</p>