

I HAVE INSRUCTIONS FROM MY CLIENT TO AGREE THE FOLLOWING.

1. THE STATEMENTS OF THE POLCE OFFICERS ARE NOT CHALLENGED INsofar AS THE INTERVIEWS ARE CONCERNED.

2. THAT A SUSPENSION OF THE LICENCE WAS CORRECT PENDING ENQUIRIES AND OBSERVATIONS BY THE LICENSING DEPARTMENTS OF CROYDON, MET OFFICE AND 3RD PARTIES WERE BEING CONSIDERED.

MY SUBMISSIONS TO LIFT THE SUSPENSION OF THE LICENCE ARE IN LIGHT OF RETAINING MY CLIENT'S LIVELIHOOD ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1.TO VARY THE PRESENT LICENCE CONDITIONS AFTER CONSULTATION WITH PC C. WOODS TO ALLAY FUTURE RISK OF ANY BREACH OF THE LICENCE AND TO BUILD BACK TRUST IN THE LICENSEES' ABILITY TO COMPLY WITH THEIR LEGAL OBLIGATIONS:

2.TO VARY TIME OF CLOSING TO MIDNIGHT.

3.STRICTLY NO ADVERTISING PROMOTIONS. (THIS WAS THE CAUSE OF THE PRESENT BREACH.)

4.TO PROVIDE FOOD AS THE MAIN CONDITION WITH ALCOHOL AS SUPPLEMENTARY AND ONLY SUPPLIED BY THE LICENSEES.

5.TO SUPERVISE ANY FUNCTION IN PERSON.

6. ANY OTHER CONDITION WHICH MAYBE RECOMMENDED BY THE LICENSING DEPARTMENTS OF THE COUNCIL AND OR MET POLICE.

DAVID PHILLIPS,

SOLICITOR- ADVOCATE.